

## Initial Equality Analysis (EIA) Resident/Service User

1. Details of function, policy, procedure or service							
Title of what is being assessed	Proposed restructure of the Adults Joint Commissioning Unit						
Is it a new or revised function, policy, procedure or service?	Revised function						
Department and Section	Joint Commissioning Unit, Commissioning Group						
Date assessment completed	11/01/2017; reviewed 27/03/2017						
2. Names and roles of people completing t	his assessment						
Lead officer	Joanne Humphreys						
Other groups							
3. Employee profile of the project							
Will the proposal affect employees?	YES/ NO						
If no please explain why.							
If yes, please seek assistance from HR to complete the employee EIA.							

## 4. How are the following equality strands affected?

Please detail the effect on each equality strand, and any mitigating action you have taken / required. Please include any relevant data. If you do not have relevant data please explain why / plans to capture data

The primary function of the Adults Joint Commissioning Unit (JCU) will be to commission health and social care services for adults in Barnet, steered by:

- The commissioning intentions of LBB and BCCG.
- The vision of the North Central London STP.

External assessments and feedback from existing JCU staff underscore the need for more senior leadership roles within the Adults JCU. As such all current posts have been re-designed. The JCU has operated with a number of vacancies in recent months with an establishment of 20 posts occupied by 12 Whole Time Equivalents. The restructure proposal in broad terms is to reduce establishment to 13 posts, all of which are higher graded to reflect the need for the JCU function to have more senior level experience.

It is not expected that these changes will have a direct impact on service users and residents.

The aim of the proposed restructure is to ensure the JCU has the right structural design and form to effectively deliver the commissioning plans of the Council and Barnet CCG and to deliver the future vision set out in the North Central London Sustainability and Transformation Plan. These changes should improve the quality and effectiveness of health and social care commissioning in Barnet. This would have an overall positive impact on outcomes for service users and residents.

<b>Equality strand</b>	Affected?	Explain how affected	Indicate action taken/ or planned to mitigate impact?
1. Age	Yes No 🖂	No foreseen impact.  Residential (Equalities Data Dashboard 9/9/15) and Client (SWIFT 14/10/15) breakdown of Age:    Age: Residential   Client Group	N/A
2. Disability	Yes No 🖂	No foreseen impact.  Barnet population predicted to have a disability (Barnet Members Dashboard 11/11/15)  Category Physical Health 81,652 46.48% Mental Health 62,032 35.31% Substance Misuse 22,092 12.58% Learning Disability 9,894 5.63%  Barnet population who are using one or more services targeted for people with disabilities in 2014. Each service user is assigned to the category considered most relevant, although it is possible for one person to have more than one disability. (Barnet Members Dashboard 11/11/15)  Category Physical Health 4,564 61.34% Mental Health 1,802 24.22% Learning Disability 870 11.69% Other Vulnerable People 171 2.30% Substance Misuse 33 0.44%	N/A
3. Gender reassignment	Yes No	No foreseen impact.	N/A
4. Pregnancy and maternity	Yes  No	No foreseen impact.	N/A

<b>Equality strand</b>	Affec	ted?	Explain how affected						Indicate action taken/ or planned to mitigate impact?
5. Race / Ethnicity	Yes		No foreseen impact.						N/A
	No	es 📙	Ethnic Group - Numbers and Proclient (SWIFT 14/10/15) breakdo			pulation	(Equalitie	es Data Dashboard 9/9/15) and	
			Ethnicity:	Reside	ntial	Client	Group		
			White British	2,622	52.18%	2,622	52.18%		
			White Other	766	15.24%	766	15.24%		
			Asian/Asian British Indian	377	7.50%	377	7.50%		
			Any Other Ethnic Group	283	5.63%	283	5.63%		
			Black/Black British African	184	3.66%	184	3.66%		
			White Irish	151	3.00%	151	3.00%		
			Asian/Asian British Other	134	2.67%	134	2.67%		
			Black/Black British Caribbean	124	2.47%	124	2.47%		
			Black/Black British Other	74	1.47%	74	1.47%		
			Refused	64	1.27%	64	1.27%		
			Not Recorded	58	1.15%	58	1.15%		
			Asian/Asian British Pakistani	57	1.13%	57	1.13%		
			Mixed Other	32	0.64%	32	0.64%		
			Chinese	27	0.54%	27	0.54%		
			Asian/Asian British Bangladeshi	20	0.40%	20	0.40%		
			Mixed White & Asian	16	0.32%	16	0.32%		
			Mixed White & Black Caribbean	12	0.24%	12	0.24%		
			Mixed White & Black African	11	0.22%	11	0.22%		
			Not Stated	8	0.16%	8	0.16%		
			Arab	5	0.10%	5	0.10%		

<b>Equality strand</b>	Affec	eted?	Explain how affected						Indicate action taken/ or planned to mitigate impact?
6. Religion or belief	Yes		No foreseen impact.						N/A
8	No		•	a Dashbo	ard 9/9/15)	and clie	ent (SWII	FT 14/10/15) breakdown of religion	
			Area	Resident	ial	Clien	t Group		
			Christian	146,866	41.21%	948	18.87%		
			No religion	57,297	16.08%	558	11.10%		
			Jewish	54,084	15.18%	908	18.07%		
			Muslim	36,744	10.31%	307	6.11%		
			Religion not stated	29,917	8.39%	541	10.77%		
			Hindu	21,924	6.15%	283	5.63%		
			Buddhist	4,521	1.27%	28	0.56%		
			Other religion	3,764	1.06%	5	0.10%		
			Sikh	1,269	0.36%	16	0.32%		
			Church Of England			562	11.18%		
			Roman Catholic			439	8.74%		
			Information Refused			148	2.95%		
			Greek Orthodox			104	2.07%		
			Methodist			30	0.60%		
			Atheist			19	0.38%		
			Jain			19	0.38%		
			Jehovah Witness			18	0.36%		
			Agnostic			16	0.32%		
			Catholic Apostolic Church			14	0.28%		
			Ismaili Muslim			14	0.28%		
			Baptist			7	0.14%		
			Orthodox Church			6	0.12%		
			Pentecostal			6	0.12%		
			Anglican			5	0.10%		
			Adventist			4	0.08%		
			Church Of Ireland			3	0.06%		
			Zowastrian			3	0.06%		
			Humanist			2	0.04%		
			Pagan			2	0.04%		
			Quaker			2	0.04%		
			Rastafarian			2	0.04%		
			African Methodist			1	0.02%		
			Ancestor Worship			1	0.02%		
			Arian Catholic			1	0.02%		
			Kabbalah			1	0.02%		
			Scientologist			1	0.02%		
			Spiritualist			1	0.02%		

<b>Equality strand</b>	Affected?	Explain how affected	Indicate action taken/ or planned to mitigate impact?
7. Gender / sex	Yes No 🖂	No foreseen impact.  Residential (Equalities Data Dashboard 9/9/15) and client (SWIFT 14/10/15) breakdown of Gender:  Gender: Residential Client Group  Female 187,685 51.10% 3,030 60.30%  Male 179,580 48.90% 1,986 39.52%  Unknown 9 0.18%	N/A
8. Sexual orientation	Yes	No foreseen impact.  See 9. Same sex civil partnerships give an indicator of homosexual relationships.	N/A
9. Marital Status	Yes No 🖂	No foreseen impact.  Residential marital status breakdown (Equalities Data Dashboard 9/9/15)  Area  Single (never married or never registered a same-sex civil partnership)  Married  In a registered same-sex civil partnership  Separated (but still legally married or still legally in a same-sex civil partnership)  Divorced or formerly in a same-sex civil partnership asame-sex civil partnership which is now legally dissolved  Widowed or surviving partner from a same-sex civil partnership  12,658  9.31%	N/A
10. Other key groups?	Yes D	No foreseen impact.	N/A
Carers	Yes No	No foreseen impact.  Residential breakdown of carers (Equalities Data Dashboard 9/9/15)  Area  Provides no unpaid care  Provides 1 to 19 hours unpaid care a week  Provides 20 to 49 hours unpaid care a week  Provides 50 or more hours unpaid care a week  6,224  1.77%	N/A
People with mental health issues	Yes	No foreseen impact. See 2.	N/A

Equality strand	Affect	ted?	Explain how affected	Indicate action taken/ or planned to mitigate impact?
Some families and lone parents	Yes No		No foreseen impact.  Lone Parents with Dependent Children - Number and Proportion of Total Residents (Equalities Data Dashboard 9/9/15)  Barnet Total Residents 352,597 One family only: Lone parent: Dependent children 28,889 8.19%	N/A
People with a low income	Yes No		No foreseen impact.	N/A
Unemployed people	Yes No		No foreseen impact.  Annual Population Survey (Employment Rate % (16-64)) March 2015 (Equalities Data Dashboard 9/9/15)  Barnet All Persons 68.20% Male Employment Rate 75.00% Female Employment Rate 61.70%	N/A
Young people not in employment education or training	Yes No		No foreseen impact.	N/A

5.	Please outline what data sources, measures and methods could be designed to monitor the impact of the
	new policy or service, the achievement of intended outcomes and the identification of any unintended or
	adverse impact?

Include how frequently monitoring could be conducted and who will be made aware of the analysis and outcomes

Data about the following is already collected and monitored on a regular basis:

- Satisfaction rates.
- Carers and resident surveys.

Any unforeseen adverse impact of this restructure would probably be reflected in a decrease of these metrics. If there

is any unexpected change in		1	2	in a accio	iso of those moures. If there					
6. Initial assessment of overall impact										
Positive Impact		Negative Impact No			No Impact					
					$\boxtimes$					
7. Scale of impact										
Positive impact		Negative Impact No								
Minimal Significant										
0 0 1										
8. Outcome										
No change to decision	Adjus	stment needed to decision	Continue with decision (despite adverse impact/ missed opportunity)		If significant negative impact - Stop/ rethink					
0. Places give a full explana	tion for h	ow the initial egges	mant and autoama	was daai	dod					
9. Please give a full explana										
The Adults Joint Commissioning Unit (JCU) brings together health and social care commissioning activity across Barnet through a shared joint work programme. As a commissioning function, the team does not provide direct services to any client group.										
The role of the JCU is to deliver the overarching aims of Barnet's Health and Wellbeing Strategy and the commissioning intentions articulated in the Council's Corporate Plan and Barnet CCG's strategic plans. The proposed changes to the structure of the JCU will not affect these overall objectives.										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 'Impact Not Known' – tick this box if there is no up-to-date data or information to show the effects or outcomes of the function, policy, procedure or service on all of the equality strands.